



WAVERLEY COUNCIL

# COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT OUTCOMES SUMMARY REPORT: WAVERLEY VILLAGE CENTRES STRATEGY REVIEW

21 October 2019





**Report Title:** Community Engagement Outcomes Summary Report: Waverley Village Centres Strategy Review

**Client:** Waverley Council

**Version:** Final Draft

**Date:** 21 October 2019

**Images throughout report:**  
Cred Consulting and Waverley Council

This material is made available by Cred Consulting on the understanding that users exercise their own skill and care with respect to its use. Any representation, statement, opinion or advice expressed or implied in this publication is made in good faith. Cred Consulting is not liable to any person or entity taking or not taking action in respect of any representation, statement, opinion or advice referred to in this document.

# CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	2
INTRODUCTION	4
METHODOLOGY	6
VILLAGE CENTRE SUMMARIES	8
Village Centre Overview	8
Bondi Basin - Hall Street	12
Bondi Road Corridor	16
Bronte Beach	20
Charing Cross	24
Bronte Road, Bondi Junction	28
Macpherson Street	32
Rose Bay North	36
Belgrave Street, Bronte	40
Blake Street, Dover Heights	42
Fletcher Street, Tamarama	44
Murriverie Road East	46
Murriverie Road West	48
North Bondi	50
OSHR Floor Street	52
Curlewis Street	54
Glenayr Avenue	56
Rose Bay South (Liverpool Street)	58
Wairoa Avenue, North Bondi	58
Vaucluse	58
APPENDIX 1 - DETAILED FINDINGS	59

# EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Cred Consulting, in partnership with Waverley Council, engaged with approximately 300 people through August to September 2019 to inform the Waverley Village Centre Strategy. The Strategy will guide planning controls and decisions that will encourage planning for sustainable, healthy, active and liveable communities as future development progresses.

Findings from community engagement will inform the Strategy by identifying what makes each village unique, what people love about it, what people would like to see change and the type of place character that they would like to see in the future.

The two engagement methods of online survey and community workshops served different but complementary purposes. The online survey sought to gather statistical data around community sentiment, and the community workshop provided an opportunity for more in depth discussions around what makes a centre special and what the community wants to protect or change.

This executive summary provides a high level overview of the community engagement outcomes with further detail found in this report, as well as the Appendices which provides a record of engagement results.

## WHO ENGAGED?



### 231 ONLINE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS

Top 3 centres with the most engagement:

- Macpherson Street - 59 respondents
- Bronte Beach - 35 respondents
- Charing Cross - 27 respondents
- Bondi Road Corridor - 26 respondents
- Hall Street, Bondi Basin - 24 respondents



### 71 PARTICIPANTS ACROSS 5 WORKSHOPS

- Bondi Road Corridor Local Centre (5 participants)
- Bronte Village Centres (21 participants)
- Charing Cross and Bronte Road (Bondi Junction) Village Centres (25 participants)
- Bondi Basin (Hall Street) (14 participants)
- Rose Bay Village Centres (6 participants)

Six headline themes have emerged from community engagement to inform the development of the Waverley Council Village Centres Strategy. While engagement was undertaken at the village scale for each of Waverley's 19 centres, there were a number of distinct commonalities and differences across the LGA.



## VILLAGE CENTRES THAT ARE COMMUNITY-MINDED, LOCAL AND SAFE

Community engagement participants value village centres in Waverley as focal points of community life, and love bumping into neighbours on the street and chatting with local shopkeepers. Across all village centres, survey respondents were most likely to describe the desired future character of a centre as 'community-minded,' 'local' and 'safe.'

People told us there are opportunities to increase a sense of community in some centres, including through providing more places to gather in public space, such as comfortable seating and shade.

People think there are opportunities to enhance the safety of village centres during the day and at night, including for pedestrians and cyclists and for people of all abilities and ages, through more lighting at night, improvements to footpath surfaces, and provision of separated cyclepaths, pedestrian crossings and traffic-slowing initiatives.



## VIBRANT VILLAGES AFTER DARK

Over 80% of workshop participants would like to see more places that provide dining and entertainment into the evening in the larger village centres. In most cases 'later opening hours' meant till about 10-11pm, which is already permitted in a lot of centres.

Across all workshops, there was strong support for more local Indigenous stories and artworks embedded into the public domain.





## **MORE GREENERY AND TREES IN THE VILLAGE CENTRES, BUT NOT AT THE EXPENSE OF PARKING**

Across community engagement activities, participants told us that they would like to see more greenery and trees in their village centres. 'Sustainable/green' was the fourth most popular word used to describe the desired future of Waverley's village centres. While over 75% of workshop participants were more supportive of trees, they were less willing to sacrifice parking spaces for increased greenery, as this may impact on access to local shops and services.

Because public spaces such as footpaths and roads in the Waverley LGA are already spatially constrained, participants liked the idea of taking innovative approaches to greening their village centres, such as planter boxes and public seating that incorporate plants. Rooftop gardens and communal courtyards were also popular ideas in most centres.



## **HERITAGE CHARACTER IS PROTECTED AS WELL AS THE LOOK AND FEEL OF EXISTING HIGH STREETS**

Respecting and celebrating what makes each village centre special and unique was a priority across engagement activities, including built environment and heritage features and independent businesses.

Generally across all workshops, there was support for setbacks on upper levels and zero lot setbacks. There was also strong support for continuous awnings to reflect the heritage character of the area, as well as to provide a sense of continuity, shade and weather protection.

Overall, workshop participants did not want to see high density in the heart of village centres, as this was not seen to be in keeping with the local character and may impact on sunlight access.

Over 80% of workshop participants were supportive of the idea that change may be required to retain cultural significance, but this should be done carefully to keep what is important.



## **PLANNING FOR A LOCAL FOCAL POINT WITH INTERNATIONAL RESONANCE IN BONDI**

The Bondi beach area is an important focal point for local community life, and also attracts millions of visitors from across Sydney, Australia and overseas each year. Community engagement participants told us that planning for the Bondi Basin village centre should support local community life as well as visitation, while ensuring that our urban environment retains what makes this area special.

Some workshop residents told us there are opportunities for this centre to be bold and ambitious and lead the way in sustainability and green initiatives.



## **VILLAGE IDENTITIES & SENSE OF PLACE**

The majority of Waverley's village centres each have a unique identity or character. In part, this character is defined by the presence of distinctive terrace shopfronts, the businesses that operate in them, and the community that lives around them.

Community workshop participants told us that there is opportunity to increase a sense of place and sense of community in the Bronte Road (Bondi Junction) village centre. It currently lacks an identity and sense of place due to heavy traffic, generic chain stores and limited public places or community spaces. "Rebranding" the centre with its own unique character was seen to be a priority. Participants also highlighted that if height has to go somewhere in the LGA, this corridor presents significant opportunities to leverage new developments to deliver public benefits such as public parks and creative / cultural infrastructure.

Some workshop participants see opportunities for Charing Cross to become more like the Paddington Five Ways or Mosman high streets.

For the villages located along the coastline, generally participants would like to see the 'beach' vibe and connection to the local environment retained as a central character trait into the future.



**More than 300 people engaged  
in consultation about Waverley  
Village Centres.**



# INTRODUCTION

This report provides a summary of the community engagement undertaken by Cred Consulting on behalf of Waverley Council (Council) to inform the development of the Village Centres Strategy (VCS). The aim of the VCS is to guide development and public domain improvements in all of Waverley's 19 centres.

## ABOUT THE VILLAGE CENTRES STRATEGY

The Village Centres Strategy aims to provide an updated evidence base that will guide development and public domain improvements in all 19 village centres within the Waverley local government area (LGA) (see map on page 11).

The VCS seeks to identify:

- The current and desired future character of each village centre to be able to enhance and protect the character of each centre
- Opportunities to improve the experience of each centre
- Recommendations for updates to the WLEP and WDCP where appropriate
- Opportunities to include best practice sustainability features
- Improvements to the public domain, and
- Improvements for transport in and around the centre.

The last review of the Waverley Village Centres Strategy was undertaken in 2006, and recommended changes to the Waverley Development Control Plan (DCP). The VCS will also review the existing DCP provisions, against recently completed developments, to assess whether these controls are delivering the intended outcomes.

The Village Centres Strategy originated from the requirement under the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 (EP&A Act) for councils to give effect to the Region and District Plans through amendments to their Local Environmental Plan (LEP). Council is undertaking a number of studies and strategies which will inform the review, including the Village Centres Strategy.

## ENGAGEMENT ACTIVITIES

Over 300 people were engaged via:

- **Online survey:** 231 people completed the online survey that was open between 12 August - 23 September 2019 via Council's Have Your Say page.
- **Community workshops:** 71 community members attended across five community workshops.

## PURPOSE OF THIS REPORT

This report provides a summary of community workshop and online survey outcomes per village centre. It also provides a synthesis of findings across all centres into key themes that will inform future planning.

*Note: The findings in this report represent the views of the participants only. All percentages throughout this report are calculated to the nearest whole number and therefore the total may not exactly equal 100%.*

# METHODOLOGY

## PURPOSE OF ENGAGEMENT

The purpose of the community engagement was to inform the community of the need to review the LEP and DCP, and the complexity of planning issues more generally, as well as to involve the community in shaping the future look and feel of Waverley's 19 centres.

The engagement process aimed to actively involve the community in the strategy development process, and to understand the community vision for each centre.

The two engagement methods of online survey and community workshops served different but complementary purposes. The online survey sought to gather statistical data around community sentiment, and the community workshop provided an opportunity for more in depth discussions around what makes a centre special and what the community wants to protect or change.

## ONLINE SURVEY

The online survey received 231 responses. Responses were collected between 12 August and 23 September. The survey was open to anyone (residents living in and outside of the Waverley LGA, businesses, visitors). In addition, Council staff conducted intercept surveys in the village centres where no community workshop was held.

### Research focus

The online survey aimed to:

- Provide respondents with an opportunity to comment on an unlimited number of centres - meaning that they could select to comment on 1, or up to all 19 centres.
- Gain an understanding of community sentiment around public domain features, accessibility, uses and values, current and desired future character, and current use for each of the centres.

## COMMUNITY WORKSHOPS

Across five centres based workshops, discussions with 71 people occurred about the look, feel, character and future planning of their centre. Workshops focussed on the key centres where change is most likely to happen in the future, or where there is a history of contentious development.

These are:

- ~ Bondi Road Corridor Local Centre
- ~ Bronte Village Centres
- ~ Charing Cross and Bronte Road (Bondi Junction) Village Centres
- ~ Bondi Basin, and
- ~ Rose Bay Village Centres.

### Research focus

The purpose of the workshops was to gain an understanding of the community's sentiments towards built form and character, the public domain, and sustainability and transport improvements where relevant.



## WORKSHOP ACTIVITIES

The community workshops were built around five activities.

### Neighbourhood character wall

As participants enter the workshop they are asked to write comments about what is unique and what they would like to change about their local neighbourhood, with each village centre represented by an A1 poster.

### Activity 1: Love /Change

Each participant is asked to write down one thing they love about their village centre now, and one thing they would change. Each person calls out what they have written and responses are themed at the front of the room.

*Note: This activity was not conducted at the first workshop with alternative activity outlined on page 24.*

### Activity 2: Character (chairs)

Looking at a set of 50 chair picture cards, each group is asked to “imagine 10 years from now, you are hosting an intimate dinner party for 4 people. You have an option of using 4 different chairs to represent your village centre. Which chairs would you use at the table to best represent the future personality of your village centre? Tell us about the chair you have chosen and what personality trait it represents.”

### Activity 3: What makes a great place (blocks)

This workshop activity aimed to help participants understand and explore current planning controls around built form volume (using building blocks to discuss set backs and massing) in their village centre and how they would like to see controls change in the future, if at all.

Participants are asked: “What does a great built outcome in your centre look like? How can we use planning controls to achieve this?”

Workshop participants explored what a great place looks like to them, what “high rise” means to them, how planning controls work, and what Council can and can’t influence on future streets. Informal discussions took place around maximum heights, set backs, greenery, laneways, through site links and urban design elements. Some groups designed a hypothetical block, while others designed a specific street in the village centre.


Rather than reach a consensus on preferred building form or controls, this workshop activity aimed to facilitate a discussion. Some of the topics revealed polar opposite views amongst the group members and ignited robust conversations around each viewpoint.

### Activity 4: Future planning scenarios

The facilitator reads out different future planning scenarios (e.g. pedestrianised “play” streets, or incorporating indigenous themes) and participants vote whether they would “absolutely” or “no way” like to see this in their village centre.



## WORKSHOP PROCESS





The background of the page is a photograph of a community meeting. Several people are seated around a long table covered with a red and white checkered tablecloth. The table is cluttered with various items, including papers, small photographs, and sticky notes. In the foreground, a man with grey hair, wearing a light blue and white striped shirt, is seen from the side, looking towards the table. Other people are visible in the background, some looking at the materials on the table. A large, white circular graphic is superimposed over the upper right portion of the image, containing the title text.

# **VILLAGE CENTRE SUMMARIES**

# VILLAGE CENTRES OVERVIEW

The following section summarises community engagement findings for each of Waverley LGA's 19 village centres. The table below summarises community engagement completed per centre.

Table 1 - Summary of consultation by village centre

Village Centre	Total online survey responses	Workshop / intercept surveys
Belgrave Street, Bronte	4	2 x intercept surveys
Blake Street, Dover Heights	2	2 x intercept surveys
Bondi Road Corridor	26	Workshop 3 ('Bondi Road Corridor Local Centre'): 5 participants
Bronte Beach	35	Workshop 2 ('Bronte Village Centres'): 21 participants
Macpherson Street	59	
Bronte Road (Bondi Junction)	11	Workshop 1 ('Charing Cross and Bronte Road Village Centres'): 25 participants
Charing Cross	27	
Fletcher Street, Tamarama	5	2 x intercept surveys
Curlewis Street, Bondi	3	Workshop 4 ('Bondi Basin'): 14 participants
Glenayr Avenue, Bondi	9	
Hall Street, Bondi Basin	24	
Murrivier Road East	1	2 x intercept surveys
Murrivier Road West	2	2 x intercept surveys
North Bondi	3	2 x intercept surveys
OSHR Flood Street	1	2 x intercept surveys
Rose Bay South (Liverpool Street)	0	Workshop 5 ('Rose Bay Village Centres'): 6 participants
Rose Bay North (Towns Road)	1	
Vaucluse	0	2 x intercept surveys
Wairoa Avenue, North Bondi	0	2 x intercept surveys

Notes:

- Survey respondents could choose to comment on more than one precinct.
- Workshop 4: participants only spoke to Hall Street - Curlewis Street and Glenayr Avenue were not discussed during this time.
- Workshop 5: participants only spoke to Rose Bay North - Rose Bay South was not discussed during this time.



Bondi Road (Source: Waverley Council)




Bronte Beach (Source: Waverley Council)



Charing Cross (Source: Waverley Council)



WAVERLEY LGA VILLAGE CENTRES



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# BONDI BASIN - HALL STREET

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



24

people completed the online survey for Hall Street.



14

people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT DO PEOPLE LOVE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- Good restaurants, coffee and bars
- Variety of shopping
- Still caters to locals
- Long-standing businesses with familiar faces
- Diversity of people and cultures
- Sense of community
- It is walkable
- Green spaces, corridors and connection to the sea
- Vibrant / the 'vibe' - especially on Hall Street
- Home to many creatives and artists

Some other comments:

- Nightlife on Hall street is balanced with residential streets
- Some new development has preserved and reanimated heritage buildings well
- Shops are 'open' to the footpath with large windows.
- Places to meet friends (physical space as well as things to do)
- Dog & child-friendly
- Good accessibility around the streets and to the beach

**"It's a real mix of people young and old and all cultures"**  
- Survey respondent

**"The area has a strong community feel at the moment, even in summer when tourists abound."**  
- Survey respondent



## WHAT DO PEOPLE WANT TO CHANGE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- Need to improve footpaths and gutter design and maintenance
- Lack of continuity in public realm e.g. street seating, signage
- Increase the night time economy - night markets, creative lighting, later opening hours
- Improved lighting at pedestrian crossings and along Hall Street
- More sun and weather protected places to shop
- More greenery on the streets e.g. community gardens
- Improvement of public transport services and options, including sustainable transport options such as electric bikes
- Reduce traffic in Hall Street

Some other comments:

- More council services (i.e. library, museum)
- Improved tree choices on streets
- More startup offices 'silicon beach'
- Design driven solutions for new development and density increases
- Review of car entry point at Hall /O'Brien/Roscoe Streets
- Review of parking meters (expensive) - switch off after 6pm
- Not pet-friendly

**"I'm concerned Hall St is getting busier with lots of cars driving up and down."**  
- Survey respondent



## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want Hall Street to be community-minded, safe and beachy in the future.

### Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, workshop participants described the ideal future character of Bondi Basin as *functional, sustainable, fun, green and leafy, artistic, diverse and friendly*.

Table 2 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Community-minded	52%
Beachy	40%
Safe	40%
Lively	36%
Local	36%

#### 2 PEOPLE



#### 1 PERSON



### GREEN

- Sustainable (3)
- Green and leafy (2)
- Natural
- Clean
- Is very season driven
- Lots of green spaces
- More trees and shade
- Recycled/Repurposed/ not massed produced
- Needs re-upholstery



### FUN

- Fun (3)
- Young

### PRACTICAL, CONTEMPORARY AND FUNCTIONAL



- Functional (4)
- Practical to purpose
- Contemporary
- Sleek
- Clean
- Future looking

### ARTISTIC & CREATIVE



- Artistic (2)
- Interesting
- Creative place
- Eclectic
- Artisanal / hand-crafted
- Authentic
- Traditional



### DIVERSE

- Diverse (2)
- Culturally sensitive
- Colourful

### COMMUNITY



- The community hands make the place
- Sense of community

### FRIENDLY



- Friendly (2)
- Hospitable
- Inviting
- "Cosy" "Fall into the arms of a friend"



## VALUES

Planting and greenery as well as retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre were the two most important values held about this village centre.

**Table 4 - How important are the following values to you about Hall Street?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	18%	4	41%	9	41%	9
Good signage and wayfinding	23%	5	32%	7	45%	10
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	23%	5	77%	17
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	14%	3	86%	19
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	36%	8	64%	14
Wide footpaths	5%	1	18%	4	77%	17
Retaining current height limits	9%	2	5%	1	86%	19
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	14%	3	86%	19
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	5%	1	5%	1	91%	20
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	18%	4	82%	18
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	27%	6	73%	16
Character buildings are maintained	5%	1	18%	4	77%	17
Planting and greenery	5%	1	5%	1	91%	20
Ease of parking	23%	5	27%	6	45%	10
Ease of access by public transport	5%	1	18%	4	73%	16
The materials and quality of the footpath	5%	1	18%	4	77%	17
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	45%	10	41%	9
Total respondents	22					

## ACCESSIBILITY

To increase accessibility this village centre needs safer movement for pedestrians and more cycle lanes and parking facilities.

**Table 3 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in Hall Street?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	35%	8	26%	6	13%	3
Private car parking	35%	8	39%	9	22%	5
Car share car spaces	22%	5	39%	9	22%	5
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	57%	13	35%	8	4%	1
Public transport access	39%	9	52%	12	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	74%	17	26%	6	0%	0
Universal access	48%	11	35%	8	0%	0
Total respondents	23					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

Trees, planting and greenery as well as street furniture are what the community want to see more of in this village centre.

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	46%	11	50%	12	4%	1
Trees, planting and greenery	96%	23	4%	1	0%	0
Public art	63%	15	21%	5	8%	2
Public recycling facilities	67%	16	25%	6	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	67%	16	25%	6	0%	0
Places for buskers	42%	10	42%	10	8%	2
Community and verge gardens	63%	15	29%	7	0%	0
Street furniture	75%	18	21%	5	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	54%	13	42%	10	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	42%	10	42%	10	0%	0
Total respondents	24					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Respondents have told us this village centre needs more community facilities, places for the arts and creativity, and less hotels/motels/serviced apartments.

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	22%	5	70%	16	9%	2
Places for retail and other services	35%	8	57%	13	4%	1
Places for work	13%	3	70%	16	9%	2
Places for the arts and creativity	74%	17	26%	6	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	61%	14	30%	7	0%	0
Visitor related services	30%	7	65%	15	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	9%	2	52%	12	39%	9
Pop up and temporary uses	48%	11	17%	4	30%	7
Outdoor dining	65%	15	30%	7	4%	1
Night time entertainment and trading	39%	9	52%	12	9%	2
Places for health and fitness	9%	2	83%	19	4%	1
Affordable housing	30%	7	48%	11	13%	3
Early morning trading	30%	7	70%	16	0%	0
Small supermarkets	22%	5	78%	18	0%	0
Large supermarkets	13%	3	48%	11	30%	7
Fine grain shop-fronts	43%	10	39%	9	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	74%	17	22%	5	0%	0
Total respondents	23					

## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# BONDI ROAD CORRIDOR

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:




**26**

people completed the online survey for this village.



**5**

people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT DO PEOPLE LOVE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- The human scale / low rise
- Small independent shops, cafes, bars and restaurants
- The local parks (and Bocce playing)
- Activity of small scale businesses and retail

Some other comments:

- Love the view
- Increased number of 333 buses
- Apartment living
- Constant activity & movement
- Everything needed is here
- Kangaroo Paw planting - water saving planting on the corner of Francis & Denham

**"I love the mix of cultures and the diversity of stores, but some shop fronts are not looked after while others have character"**

- Survey respondent



## WHAT DO PEOPLE WANT TO CHANGE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- More trees and landscaping along the streets to provide shade, mitigate heat and a buffer from traffic
- Widen footpaths
- More pedestrian and bike friendly, including more pedestrian crossings and review of existing ones
- Reduce dependence on cars / traffic congestion
- More public parking
- More public transport (to get people out of cars)

Some other comments:

- An underground heavy rail
- Bondi Road could have higher density if there was a train station
- Provide lights at Wellington Street
- Improved pedestrian connection to the junction
- No places to be without paying e.g. library community centres
- No civic space
- Dilapidated commercial frontals & facades
- Household rubbish dumping
- Poor quality apartment buildings

**"I want it to be a destination for people to spend time near their houses, for dining, drinking and meeting for coffee."**

- Survey respondent





## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want the Bondi Road Corridor to be community-minded, safe and local in the future.

### Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, workshop participants want the Bondi Road Corridor village centre to be a place for *spontaneity* and *experimentation* in the future. Workshop participants want this centre to be *modern* with *innovative design* but also incorporating *natural* and *playful* features and reflecting its proximity to the *beach*. They want this centre to be *informal*, *relaxed*, *welcoming* and *comfortable*.


Table 7 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Community-minded	46%
Local	42%
Safe	42%
Sustainable/green	38%
Beautiful	31%



### INNOVATIVE & SPONTANEITY

- Innovative design
- Spontaneous
- Clean
- Simple, yet complex
- Leading edge
- DIY
- Spirit of experimentation



### WELCOMING

- Comfortable (2)
- Welcoming
- Allows for all types of people
- Inviting for all ages



### ORGANIC

- Natural
- Recycled
- Neutrals



### RELAXED

- Laid back but structured
- Not too slick
- Relaxing
- Informal

### COASTAL

- Entrance to beach and ocean
- Beach chic
- Airy and light

### PLAYFUL

- Playful
- Fun



### LOCAL

- Village vibe

## VALUES

Ease of access by public transport, planting and greenery, and functional and well-designed street furniture were the three most important values held about this village centre.

**Table 8 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	21%	5	50%	12	25%	6
Good signage and wayfinding	8%	2	38%	9	42%	10
Responsive to history and heritage	13%	3	21%	5	63%	15
Functional and well-designed street furniture	4%	1	4%	1	88%	21
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	8%	2	13%	3	71%	17
Wide footpaths	13%	3	13%	3	67%	16
Retaining current height limits	13%	3	29%	7	54%	13
High quality designed buildings	13%	3	13%	3	67%	16
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	4%	1	8%	2	83%	20
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	25%	6	75%	18
Accessible for people of all abilities	4%	1	17%	4	79%	19
Character buildings are maintained	8%	2	17%	4	71%	17
Planting and greenery	4%	1	8%	2	88%	21
Ease of parking	29%	7	21%	5	38%	9
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	4%	1	92%	22
The materials and quality of the footpath	4%	1	29%	7	67%	16
Fine grain shop-fronts	4%	1	21%	5	50%	12
Total respondents	26					

## ACCESSIBILITY

To increase accessibility this village centre needs safer movement for pedestrians and more cycle lanes and parking facilities.

**Table 9 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	54%	13	21%	5	8%	2
Private car parking	25%	6	38%	9	21%	5
Car share car spaces	42%	10	21%	5	13%	3
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	71%	17	17%	4	0%	0
Public transport access	63%	15	25%	6	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	71%	17	25%	6	0%	0
Universal access	58%	14	25%	6	0%	0
Total respondents	26					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

Trees, planting and greenery as well as street furniture and public spaces to linger are what the community want to see more of in this village centre.

**Table 10 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	36%	9	40%	10	8%	2
Trees, planting and greenery	88%	22	12%	3	0%	0
Public art	64%	16	16%	4	12%	3
Public recycling facilities	64%	16	24%	6	4%	1
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	52%	13	36%	9	0%	0
Places for buskers	32%	8	36%	9	16%	4
Community and verge gardens	68%	17	20%	5	8%	2
Street furniture	76%	19	20%	5	4%	1
Public spaces to linger	76%	19	16%	4	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	56%	14	24%	6	8%	2
Total respondents	26					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Respondents have told us this village centre needs more Pedestrian through-site links and outdoor dining, and less hotels/motels/serviced apartments.

**Table 11 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?**

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	42%	10	46%	11	13%	3
Places for retail and other services	33%	8	67%	16	0%	0
Places for work	25%	6	63%	15	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	54%	13	42%	10	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	50%	12	50%	12	0%	0
Visitor related services	17%	4	75%	18	4%	1
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	8%	2	50%	12	42%	10
Pop up and temporary uses	46%	11	33%	8	17%	4
Outdoor dining	67%	16	25%	6	8%	2
Night time entertainment and trading	63%	15	33%	8	4%	1
Places for health and fitness	17%	4	75%	18	4%	1
Affordable housing	50%	12	46%	11	4%	1
Early morning trading	21%	5	63%	15	4%	1
Small supermarkets	46%	11	50%	12	0%	0
Large supermarkets	13%	3	33%	8	50%	12
Fine grain shop-fronts	46%	11	25%	6	4%	1
Pedestrian through-site links	67%	16	8%	2	8%	2
Total respondents	26					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# BRONTE BEACH

### Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



**35**

people completed the online survey for this village.



**21**

people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT DO PEOPLE LOVE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- Relaxed, coastal village feel
- Low rise buildings
- Small shops and cafes with outdoor seating
- Bus route
- Heritage character
- Family friendly and community feel
- No pubs
- Proximity to beach and ocean pool
- Park, gully and open space
- Cleanliness

Some other comments:

- Quieter than Bondi, has a holiday but cosy feel, not bustle.
- Views of the ocean

**"I love the diverse community of all ages, young and old and I appreciate the family feel, where people know people and care about them"**

- Survey respondent



## WHAT DO PEOPLE WANT TO CHANGE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- Less cars and lower speed limits
- More pedestrian crossings and wider footpath on beachside
- Ensure mix of different types of shops and cafes
- More activity at night and in the winter (e.g. attractive lighting at night)
- More trees
- Increase continuity of shopfront awnings
- Create spaces that promote community
- Remove the bus stop in front of the cafes (e.g. move to beachside)

Some other comments:

- Some want additional and cheaper parking to accommodate shop visits whereas others would like to see no parking in front of cafes to enhance pedestrian mobility and ocean views
- While some value cafe outdoor seating, others want less to improve pedestrian mobility
- Upgrades to park area, including picnic shelters, seating, surf club, a boardwalk

**"Improve night and winter trading by introducing visual attraction in the park (e.g. light displays)"**

- Workshop participant



## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want the Bronte Beach village centre to be community-minded, beachy and local in the future.

### Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, workshop participants want the Bronte Beach village centre to maintain its current *relaxed, comfortable* and *casual* character with a strong connection to *nature* and the *beach*. Workshop participants emphasised the importance of this centre being *inclusive, welcoming* and *friendly for all*, including for *families* and people from *diverse* cultural backgrounds.

Workshop participants want this centre to maintain its *heritage* character, but see room for a “*modern take on traditional form*” and more *uniformity*.

Table 12 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Beachy	51%
Local	46%
Community-minded	43%
Beautiful	37%
Sustainable/green	37%

### RELAXED & CASUAL



- Relaxed (4)
- Comfortable (2)
- Casual / casual conversations (2)
- Beachy
- Homely
- Little bit rough/unpolished
- Down to earth
- Laid back

### INCLUSIVE




- Friendly
- Family friendly
- Inclusive
- Welcoming
- Inviting
- Accessible for all
- Spacious
- Diverse
- Linked to indigenous culture

### SIMPLE ELEGANCE



- Beautiful (3)
- Holiday like but elegant
- Classic & simple
- Organised
- Well designed
- Beachside boutique character (like Charing Cross)
- Uniform but elegant
- Keep heritage look
- Modern take on traditional form

### CONNECTED TO NATURE



- Natural materials (2)
- Trees & planters
- Planters
- Not just the beach but the spaces around
- Business less important than nature
- Craftsmanship
- Use of timber

### SAFE & CLEAN

- Safe (2)
- Clean (2)
- Paved footpath



### VIBRANT

- Funky
- Colourful
- Happy
- Bright

## VALUES

Environmental sustainability and planting and greenery were the two most important values held about this village centre.

**Table 13 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	21%	6	21%	6	54%	15
Good signage and wayfinding	7%	2	39%	11	50%	14
Responsive to history and heritage	4%	1	14%	4	79%	22
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	32%	9	61%	17
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	7%	2	32%	9	57%	16
Wide footpaths	21%	6	25%	7	50%	14
Retaining current height limits	7%	2	11%	3	79%	22
High quality designed buildings	4%	1	29%	8	57%	16
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	11%	3	79%	22
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	4%	1	86%	24
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	11%	3	79%	22
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	14%	4	75%	21
Planting and greenery	0%	0	7%	2	82%	23
Ease of parking	29%	8	29%	8	32%	9
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	7%	2	79%	22
The materials and quality of the footpath	4%	1	14%	4	71%	20
Fine grain shop-fronts	14%	4	29%	8	43%	12
Total respondents	28					

## ACCESSIBILITY

To increase accessibility this village centre needs safer movement for pedestrians and more cycle lanes and parking facilities.

**Table 14 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	42%	14	18%	6	24%	8
Private car parking	24%	8	33%	11	27%	9
Car share car spaces	15%	5	39%	13	21%	7
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	52%	17	18%	6	18%	6
Public transport access	36%	12	48%	16	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	61%	20	21%	7	0%	0
Universal access	39%	13	27%	9	12%	4
Total respondents	33					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

Trees, planting and greenery as well as visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage are what the community want to see more of in this village centre.

**Table 15 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	26%	9	60%	21	14%	5
Trees, planting and greenery	77%	27	23%	8	0%	0
Public art	57%	20	23%	8	14%	5
Public recycling facilities	60%	21	23%	8	11%	4
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	66%	23	9%	3	11%	4
Places for buskers	14%	5	31%	11	37%	13
Community and verge gardens	51%	18	23%	8	11%	4
Street furniture	51%	18	37%	13	6%	2
Public spaces to linger	37%	13	40%	14	6%	2
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	37%	13	40%	14	9%	3
Total respondents	35					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Respondents have told us this village centre needs more places for the arts and creativity and affordable housing, and less large supermarkets.

**Table 16 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?**

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	4%	1	79%	22	11%	3
Places for retail and other services	11%	3	61%	17	21%	6
Places for work	0%	0	75%	21	7%	2
Places for the arts and creativity	46%	13	36%	10	11%	3
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	39%	11	43%	12	11%	3
Visitor related services	14%	4	57%	16	7%	2
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	0%	0	29%	8	64%	18
Pop up and temporary uses	25%	7	46%	13	25%	7
Outdoor dining	25%	7	64%	18	4%	1
Night time entertainment and trading	25%	7	46%	13	29%	8
Places for health and fitness	32%	9	54%	15	11%	3
Affordable housing	43%	12	36%	10	11%	3
Early morning trading	7%	2	61%	17	25%	7
Small supermarkets	7%	2	50%	14	36%	10
Large supermarkets	0%	0	11%	3	82%	23
Fine grain shop-fronts	14%	4	61%	17	7%	2
Pedestrian through-site links	36%	10	32%	9	14%	4
Total respondents	28					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT: CHARING CROSS

### Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:




27

people completed the online survey for this village.



21

people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT'S HOT AND WHAT'S NOT?

### Activity description

Participants were shown a set of 25 images of buildings within and outside of the study area and asked to sort them into three groups: 'hot,' 'not' and 'unsure' in response to the question: "Which buildings do you like in the context of Charing Cross / Bronte Road (Bondi Junction)?"

This was the only workshop that completed the 'hot or not' activity. It was replaced by the 'love/change' activity in following workshops.

### Findings

Overall agreement that the buildings should keep within the heritage character of the area, if upper floor storeys were setback. Some would also like to see continuous awnings and existing materiality continued to be used (e.g. brick buildings).

Some would like to see more outdoor seating areas and tree planting, while others were concerned that trees might take away parking in an already tight road corridor.

"I would like to see more diversity of shops and businesses."

- Survey respondent



## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want the Charing Cross village centre to be community-minded, historical and safe in the future.

Table 17 - Top 5 future character words from the online survey

Local	67%
Community-minded	52%
Historical	48%
Safe	41%
Buzzing	33%

## Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, workshop participants want to build on the Charing Cross village centre's existing assets, including proximity to the *beach*, *heritage* character and *relaxed* feel, while highlighting opportunities to enhance the *comfort* of the centre for all *community* members (e.g. all ages and backgrounds). Bringing more *green* and *natural* features such as plantings and trees was a priority across all groups. Participants selected chairs with a *clean*, *modern* and *classic* design but also liked chairs that they described as *funky* or *playful*.

### 3 GROUPS



## GREEN AND NATURAL

- Green (2)
- Simple
- Elegant
- Whimsy
- Joy
- Natural
- Clean
- A bit of green but not over-bearing

### 2 GROUPS



## COMFORTABLE AND MODERN

- Comfortable (2) but edgy
- Modern (2)
- Designer
- Fun
- Community

### 2 GROUPS



## CLASSIC AND VIBRANT

- Homey
- Seaside
- Boutique
- Respectful
- Vibrant

### 2 GROUPS



## RELAXED AND BEACHY

- Classic but cool
- Aspirational
- Relaxed
- Beachy character

### 2 GROUPS



## FAMILY FRIENDLY AND PLAYFUL

- Outdoor
- Breezy
- Open
- Playful
- Family friendly



## CREATIVE

- Funky (2)
- Indigenous design
- Public art

## VALUES

Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre, environmental sustainability and planting greenery were the three most important values held about this village centre.

**Table 18 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	11%	3	41%	11	48%	13
Good signage and wayfinding	11%	3	37%	10	52%	14
Responsive to history and heritage	7%	2	30%	8	63%	17
Functional and well-designed street furniture	22%	6	30%	8	48%	13
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	19%	5	37%	10	44%	12
Wide footpaths	22%	6	26%	7	48%	13
Retaining current height limits	15%	4	15%	4	70%	19
High quality designed buildings	15%	4	22%	6	59%	16
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	4%	1	4%	1	89%	24
Environmental sustainability	7%	2	11%	3	81%	22
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	30%	8	67%	18
Character buildings are maintained	4%	1	15%	4	78%	21
Planting and greenery	0%	0	19%	5	81%	22
Ease of parking	11%	3	33%	9	52%	14
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	19%	5	78%	21
The materials and quality of the footpath	7%	2	22%	6	67%	18
Fine grain shop-fronts	15%	4	33%	9	41%	11
Total respondents	27					

## ACCESSIBILITY

To increase accessibility this village centre needs safer movement for pedestrians and better public transport access.

**Table 19 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	37%	10	19%	5	33%	9
Private car parking	37%	10	48%	13	11%	3
Car share car spaces	22%	6	48%	13	26%	7
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	44%	12	41%	11	15%	4
Public transport access	48%	13	52%	14	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	63%	17	37%	10	0%	0
Universal access	30%	8	41%	11	7%	2
Total respondents	27					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

Trees, planting and greenery as well as street furniture are what the community want to see more of in this village centre.

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	35%	9	42%	11	23%	6
Trees, planting and greenery	88%	23	12%	3	0%	0
Public art	50%	13	23%	6	27%	7
Public recycling facilities	58%	15	31%	8	12%	3
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	46%	12	19%	5	23%	6
Places for buskers	15%	4	31%	8	50%	13
Community and verge gardens	46%	12	35%	9	12%	3
Street furniture	62%	16	27%	7	12%	3
Public spaces to linger	42%	11	42%	11	12%	3
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	58%	15	31%	8	8%	2
Total respondents	26					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Respondents have told us this village centre needs more outdoor dining and places for the arts and creativity, and less large supermarkets.

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	15%	4	77%	20	8%	2
Places for retail and other services	27%	7	73%	19	0%	0
Places for work	19%	5	77%	20	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	50%	13	35%	9	15%	4
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	38%	10	54%	14	8%	2
Visitor related services	12%	3	77%	20	12%	3
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	15%	4	35%	9	42%	11
Pop up and temporary uses	19%	5	54%	14	27%	7
Outdoor dining	50%	13	42%	11	8%	2
Night time entertainment and trading	23%	6	62%	16	12%	3
Places for health and fitness	8%	2	85%	22	4%	1
Affordable housing	31%	8	54%	14	12%	3
Early morning trading	23%	6	73%	19	4%	1
Small supermarkets	27%	7	58%	15	12%	3
Large supermarkets	0%	0	19%	5	65%	17
Fine grain shop-fronts	27%	7	54%	14	4%	1
Pedestrian through-site links	46%	12	42%	11	4%	1
Total respondents	26					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# BRONTE ROAD, BONDI JUNCTION

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



11

people completed the online survey for this village.



21

people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT'S HOT AND WHAT'S NOT?

### Activity description

Participants were shown a set of 25 images of buildings within and outside of the study area and asked to sort them into three groups: 'hot,' 'not' and 'unsure' in response to the question: "Which buildings do you like in the context of Charing Cross / Bronte Road (Bondi Junction)?"

This was the only workshop that completed the 'hot or not' activity. It was subsequently replaced by the 'love/change' activity in the following workshops.

### Findings

There was overall agreement that at the moment, the large format retail shops are an architectural eyesore and negatively contribute to the precinct feeling not activated. There was general agreement that there is an opportunity to re-imagine and reinvigorate this precinct in the future.

Some said that this is where midrise, more contemporary architectural buildings could go, as the heritage character is much less prominent here compared to somewhere like Charing Cross (although where there is heritage, new buildings should be sympathetic to their character).

Some would like to see more public spaces included in the precinct, as well as playgrounds for families and a new parking facility to help alleviate issues in surrounding areas.

## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want the Bronte Road (Bondi Junction) village centre to be community-minded, safe and sustainable/green in the future.

Table 22 - Top 5 future character words from the online survey

Community-minded	82%
Safe	55%
Sustainable/green	36%
Buzzing	36%
Local	36%
Interesting/intriguing	36%

**"Would be great to be people and place focussed, rather than traffic focussed (loud, busy) as it is now."**

- Survey respondent



## Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, workshop participants want the Bronte Road (Bondi Junction) village centre to be *refreshed* and become more of a *destination* where people stop rather than pass through.

Other groups identified the potential for this road to become a business and food hub, highlighting the commercial opportunities for this area.

The vision for Bronte Road (Bondi Junction) from this group focussed on a timeless character that emphasises "old meets new." Opportunity to build and engage the community through the environmental aesthetics of the area, more greenery on Bronte Road, as well as public art to invigorate the area was identified. There is an opportunity for businesses to thrive on Bronte Road (Bondi Junction), with much talk focussed on 'Osteria Riva' (this restaurant was also mentioned by other groups too).

### 3 PEOPLE



## REFRESHED IDENTITY

- Fresh vision
- Destination
- Place brand (place name)
- Strong identity
- "Old meets new"
- Ethnic design
- Practical
- Colourful

### 2 PEOPLE



## ENTRANCE TO BONDI JUNCTION

- Entrance to Bondi Junction
- Transition from high rise Bondi to low rise
- Building on the background



## CREATIVE

- Funky (2)
- Indigenous design
- Public art



## ALFRESCO

- Opportunity for greenery (on Bronte Road)
- Restaurant eating precinct



## FUNCTIONAL

- Has a function / Functional (2)
- Utilitarian
- Efficient
- Balanced
- Economical
- Homogeneous



## BALANCE OF MODERN AND TRADITIONAL

- Modern / Modern elements (4)
- Classy (2)
- Heritage / heritage feel (2)
- Sustainable
- Chic
- Avant-garde
- Commercial
- Contemporary



## COMFORTABLE

- Comfortable (2)
- Welcoming
- Inclusive
- Family friendly
- Relaxed



## VALUES

Ease of access by public transport and accessibility for people of all abilities were the two most important values held about this village centre.

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	20%	2	50%	5	30%	3
Good signage and wayfinding	10%	1	60%	6	30%	3
Responsive to history and heritage	10%	1	40%	4	50%	5
Functional and well-designed street furniture	10%	1	30%	3	60%	6
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	40%	4	60%	6
Wide footpaths	0%	0	40%	4	60%	6
Retaining current height limits	10%	1	30%	3	60%	6
High quality designed buildings	10%	1	10%	1	80%	8
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	10%	1	90%	9
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	10%	1	90%	9
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	0%	0	100%	10
Character buildings are maintained	10%	1	10%	1	80%	8
Planting and greenery	0%	0	10%	1	90%	9
Ease of parking	10%	1	30%	3	60%	6
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	0%	0	100%	10
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	30%	3	70%	7
Fine grain shop-fronts	10%	1	40%	4	30%	3
Total respondents	10					

## ACCESSIBILITY

To increase accessibility this village centre needs safer movement for pedestrians, universal access and more cycle lanes and parking facilities.

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	50%	5	30%	3	10%	1
Private car parking	10%	1	70%	7	10%	1
Car share car spaces	30%	3	50%	5	10%	1
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	70%	7	10%	1	20%	2
Public transport access	60%	6	40%	4	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	90%	9	10%	1	0%	0
Universal access	70%	7	20%	2	10%	1
Total respondents	10					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

Public art and public recycling facilities are what the community want to see more of in this village centre.

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	45%	5	45%	5	9%	1
Trees, planting and greenery	73%	8	27%	3	0%	0
Public art	82%	9	0%	0	18%	2
Public recycling facilities	82%	9	9%	1	9%	1
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	73%	8	18%	2	0%	0
Places for buskers	64%	7	27%	3	9%	1
Community and verge gardens	64%	7	36%	4	0%	0
Street furniture	82%	9	18%	2	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	64%	7	27%	3	9%	1
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	55%	6	36%	4	0%	0
Total respondents	11					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Respondents have told us this village centre needs more community facilities, places for the arts and creativity, pop up and temporary uses, outdoor dining and pedestrian through-site links.

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	30%	3	50%	5	20%	2
Places for retail and other services	50%	5	40%	4	10%	1
Places for work	40%	4	50%	5	10%	1
Places for the arts and creativity	70%	7	30%	3	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	70%	7	30%	3	0%	0
Visitor related services	40%	4	50%	5	10%	1
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	10%	1	50%	5	30%	3
Pop up and temporary uses	70%	7	20%	2	0%	0
Outdoor dining	70%	7	30%	3	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	60%	6	20%	2	20%	2
Places for health and fitness	30%	3	70%	7	0%	0
Affordable housing	50%	5	30%	3	20%	2
Early morning trading	30%	3	50%	5	10%	1
Small supermarkets	40%	4	50%	5	10%	1
Large supermarkets	10%	1	40%	4	40%	4
Fine grain shop-fronts	30%	3	40%	4	10%	1
Pedestrian through-site links	70%	7	20%	2	10%	1
Total respondents	10					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# MACPHERSON STREET

### Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



**59**

people completed the online survey for this village.



**21**

people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT DO PEOPLE LOVE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- Good mix of shops (x5)
- Boutique cafes and restaurants
- Local independent shops and no chain stores
- Community and local feel
- Vibrant street life
- Relaxed and quiet
- Low rise shops
- Trees and planter boxes
- Heritage character
- Views
- Destination for tourists
- Pedestrians crossing

**"It's lovely just the way it is.  
Small, local businesses serving  
the local community."**

- Survey respondent



## WHAT DO PEOPLE WANT TO CHANGE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- ~ Less and slow traffic (x9), including past the childcare centre
- ~ No supermarket (x5)
- ~ Parking issues (x3)
- ~ No more development (x3)
- ~ Slow traffic
- ~ Improved pedestrian access, including better lighting at the pedestrian crossing, wider upgraded footpaths

Some other comments:

- ~ Cycle paths
- ~ Continuous awning
- ~ More community spaces
- ~ Redevelopment of 60s buildings in keeping with heritage buildings
- ~ Better planting
- ~ Add heritage signage
- ~ Extend trading hours for restaurants
- ~ Address local housing affordability

## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want the Macpherson Street village centre to be community-minded, safe and local in the future.

### Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, workshop participants want Macpherson Street village centre to be a place for *community* that is *welcoming* and *inclusive*, with spaces where people of *all ages* can *come together*. One group agreed that they want this village centre to have a *relaxed* and *casual* look and feel, but prioritised *simple beautification* and *playful* elements. Another group wanted this village centre to be a *friendly* and *walkable* place where people want to stop rather than pass through.

Table 27 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Community-minded	76%
Local	58%
Safe	39%
Sustainable/green	37%
Relaxed	31%

#### 2 PEOPLE



### SPACE FOR COMMUNITY

- **Welcoming (2)** / welcoming to all ages
- Community spaces to gather
- Places for people to come together
- Inclusive
- Spacious
- Space for people and businesses to experiment and improvise (e.g. Iggy's)
- Centre for community
- Friendly
- Sociable



### RELAXED & CASUAL

- **Homey / comfortable like home (2)**
- Relaxed
- Friendly
- Chilled
- Beachy
- Casual conversations
- Beachside boutique character (like Charing Cross)



### BEAUTIFIED

- **Designed, but not over-designed (2)**
- Funky
- Spacious
- Beautification
- Simple beauty
- Structured but natural

#### 2 PEOPLE

### FUN & HAPPY

- Happy environment
- Happy people
- Fun



### SAFE & CLEAN

- **Safe (2)**
- **Clean (2)**
- Paved footpath
- No more concrete or pavers
- Able to walk along footpath



## VALUES

Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre and planting and greenery were the two most important values held about this village centre.

**Table 28 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	14%	7	34%	17	50%	25
Good signage and wayfinding	12%	6	54%	27	30%	15
Responsive to history and heritage	8%	4	36%	18	54%	27
Functional and well-designed street furniture	8%	4	30%	15	58%	29
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	18%	9	30%	15	48%	24
Wide footpaths	10%	5	44%	22	44%	22
Retaining current height limits	8%	4	6%	3	80%	40
High quality designed buildings	12%	6	20%	10	64%	32
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	4%	2	2%	1	86%	43
Environmental sustainability	4%	2	12%	6	74%	37
Accessible for people of all abilities	4%	2	16%	8	72%	36
Character buildings are maintained	2%	1	20%	10	72%	36
Planting and greenery	0%	0	12%	6	84%	42
Ease of parking	20%	10	28%	14	46%	23
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	22%	11	72%	36
The materials and quality of the footpath	4%	2	26%	13	66%	33
Fine grain shop-fronts	10%	5	32%	16	36%	18
Total respondents	50					

## ACCESSIBILITY

To increase accessibility this village centre needs more cycle lanes and parking facilities and better public transport access.

**Table 29 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	35%	18	33%	17	15%	8
Private car parking	15%	8	58%	30	21%	11
Car share car spaces	17%	9	56%	29	15%	8
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	44%	23	35%	18	19%	10
Public transport access	40%	21	52%	27	2%	1
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	63%	33	37%	19	0%	0
Universal access	31%	16	40%	21	8%	4
Total respondents	52					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

Trees, planting and greenery as well as public recycling facilities and community and verge gardens are what the community want to see more of in this village centre.

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	22%	12	59%	32	11%	6
Trees, planting and greenery	87%	47	11%	6	0%	0
Public art	46%	25	44%	24	6%	3
Public recycling facilities	57%	31	31%	17	4%	2
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	48%	26	28%	15	7%	4
Places for buskers	9%	5	43%	23	31%	17
Community and verge gardens	57%	31	28%	15	2%	1
Street furniture	43%	23	37%	20	7%	4
Public spaces to linger	30%	16	41%	22	13%	7
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	31%	17	48%	26	6%	3
Total respondents	54					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Respondents have told us this village centre needs more community facilities, places for the arts and creativity, and less large supermarkets.

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	14%	7	76%	39	6%	3
Places for retail and other services	22%	11	65%	33	12%	6
Places for work	12%	6	71%	36	6%	3
Places for the arts and creativity	45%	23	39%	20	8%	4
Community facilities e.g library, community centre	41%	21	51%	26	6%	3
Visitor related services	2%	1	82%	42	12%	6
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	0%	0	41%	21	55%	28
Pop up and temporary uses	22%	11	39%	20	33%	17
Outdoor dining	31%	16	55%	28	8%	4
Night time entertainment and trading	18%	9	57%	29	24%	12
Places for health and fitness	33%	17	63%	32	2%	1
Affordable housing	33%	17	57%	29	6%	3
Early morning trading	10%	5	67%	34	18%	9
Small supermarkets	12%	6	47%	24	39%	20
Large supermarkets	8%	4	8%	4	76%	39
Fine grain shop-fronts	24%	12	51%	26	8%	4
Pedestrian through-site links	33%	17	43%	22	4%	2
Total respondents	51					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT: ROSE BAY NORTH

### Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



**1** people completed the online survey for this village.



**6** people participated in the community workshop for this village.



## WHAT DO PEOPLE LOVE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- Small businesses (x4)
- Cafes

Some other comments:

- Can walk within 5 minutes to a different restaurant for a different night of the week.
- Old village feel
- Not too built up
- Good to have a supermarket is good.
- Only thing missing is a butcher and fruit shop
- Love that there will be a Bunnings

## WHAT DO PEOPLE WANT TO CHANGE?

### Workshop findings (love/change activity)

Some common themes:

- More parking
- Congestions
- Need the bank back and fruit and veg
- More bus services – difficult for the elderly to access the city and other places
- Bus stops locations reviewed have been relocated to the middle of a shopping centre
- Doesn't have the aesthetic to go with the 'feel'
- Sculptured bushes to break up the footpath (Western Devon Street)
- Planters on Plumer Road

## PLACE CHARACTER

### Survey results

Survey respondents want the Rose Bay North village centre to be quiet, local, safe and relaxed in the future.

Table 32 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Quiet	100%
Local	100%
Safe	100%
Relaxed	100%

Note: there is only 1 respondent to the online survey for this village

**"Great small businesses in the area"**

- Workshop participant



## Workshop results

As shown in the diagram below, the future character of Rose Bay North was primarily described using the word 'comfortable'. The overall desire for the future of Rose Bay was to maintain many of the qualities that it has today - such as a place that is *friendly* and *inviting*, and that creates an *old world* atmosphere that is unique to the community. Some participants would like to see *more greenery* (not at the expense of parking) and a more common look and feel.



### COMFORTABLE & INVITING

- Comfortable (2)
- Inviting
- Somewhere you want to stay
- A sense of belonging
- A sense of community



### GREEN

- Needs more greenery
- More street furniture and planting



### ELEGANT

- Old world
- Elegant
- Unique



### CULTURAL

- Street libraries
- Innovative street furniture



### FRIENDLY

- Friendly
- People say hi to each other in the street
- "Everyone knows each other"

### CONSISTENT

- Consistent look and feel
- Consistent signage

## VALUES

**Table 33 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Good signage and wayfinding	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Responsive to history and heritage	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Wide footpaths	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Retaining current height limits	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Character buildings are maintained	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Ease of parking	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## ACCESSIBILITY

**Table 34 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Private car parking	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Car share car spaces	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Public transport access	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Universal access	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 35 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Public art	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Public recycling facilities	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Places for buskers	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Community and verge gardens	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Street furniture	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

**Table 36 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?**

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Places for retail and other services	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for work	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Visitor related services	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Pop up and temporary uses	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Outdoor dining	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Affordable housing	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Early morning trading	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	1					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# BELGRAVE STREET, BRONTE

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



5

people completed the online survey for this village.



## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Belgrave Street village centre to be local, relaxed, beautiful and iconic in the future.

**Table 39 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?**

Local	60%
Relaxed	40%
Beautiful	40%
Iconic	40%

## ACCESSIBILITY

**Table 37 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?**

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Private car parking	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Car share car spaces	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Public transport access	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Universal access	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	3					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

**Table 38 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?**

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Places for work	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Community facilities e.g library, community centre	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Visitor related services	0%	0	33%	1	33%	1
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	33%	1	0%	0	33%	1
Pop up and temporary uses	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Outdoor dining	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	67%	2	0%	0	33%	1
Places for health and fitness	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Affordable housing	67%	2	0%	0	33%	1
Early morning trading	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	33%	1	0%	0	33%	1
Large supermarkets	33%	1	0%	0	33%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	67%	2	0%	0	33%	1
Pedestrian through-site links	67%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	3					

## VALUES

**Table 41 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	50%	2	0%	0	25%	1
Good signage and wayfinding	0%	0	25%	1	50%	2
Responsive to history and heritage	50%	2	25%	1	25%	1
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	75%	3
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	50%	2	50%	2
Wide footpaths	25%	1	0%	0	50%	2
Retaining current height limits	50%	2	0%	0	25%	1
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	75%	3
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	25%	1	50%	2
Environmental sustainability	25%	1	0%	0	50%	2
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	25%	1	50%	2
Character buildings are maintained	25%	1	0%	0	50%	2
Planting and greenery	25%	1	0%	0	50%	2
Ease of parking	25%	1	25%	1	25%	1
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	25%	1	50%	2
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	0%	0	75%	3
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	25%	1	50%	2
Total respondents	4					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 40 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	25%	1	50%	2	25%	1
Trees, planting and greenery	50%	2	50%	2	0%	0
Public art	50%	2	25%	1	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	50%	2	0%	0	25%	1
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	50%	2	0%	0	25%	1
Places for buskers	25%	1	0%	0	50%	2
Community and verge gardens	50%	2	0%	0	25%	1
Street furniture	75%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	50%	2	25%	1	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	50%	2	25%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	4					

## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# BLAKE STREET, DOVER HEIGHTS

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



2

people completed the online survey for this village.



## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Blake Street village centre to be local, interesting, iconic, beautiful, safe, sustainable and relaxed in the future.

Table 44 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Local	100.0%
Interesting/intriguing	50.0%
Iconic	50.0%
Beautiful	50.0%
Safe	50.0%
Sustainable/green	50.0%
Relaxed	50.0%

## ACCESSIBILITY

Table 42 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Private car parking	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Car share car spaces	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Public transport access	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Universal access	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	2					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 43 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Places for work	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Visitor related services	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Pop up and temporary uses	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Outdoor dining	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Affordable housing	0%	0	50%	1	0%	0
Early morning trading	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	50%	1	0%	0
Fine grain shop-fronts	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	2					

## VALUES

**Table 46 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Good signage and wayfinding	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Responsive to history and heritage	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Wide footpaths	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Retaining current height limits	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Environmental sustainability	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Character buildings are maintained	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Planting and greenery	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Ease of parking	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Total respondents	2					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 45 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Public art	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Places for buskers	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Community and verge gardens	0%	0	50%	1	0%	0
Street furniture	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	0%	0	50%	1	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	2					

## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# FLETCHER STREET, TAMARAMA

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



5

people completed the online survey for this village.



## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Fletcher Street village centre to be local, safe, beautiful and sustainable/green in the future.

Table 49 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Local	60%
Safe	40%
Beautiful	40%
Sustainable/green	40%

## ACCESSIBILITY

Table 47 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	0%	0	80%	4	20%	1
Private car parking	0%	0	80%	4	20%	1
Car share car spaces	0%	0	80%	4	20%	1
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	60%	3	20%	1	20%	1
Public transport access	80%	4	20%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	80%	4	20%	1	0%	0
Universal access	60%	3	40%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	5					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 48 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	40%	2	60%	3	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	80%	4	0%	0	0%	0
Places for work	0%	0	100%	5	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	40%	2	60%	3	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	60%	3	40%	2	0%	0
Visitor related services	20%	1	80%	4	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	20%	1	40%	2	40%	2
Pop up and temporary uses	40%	2	40%	2	20%	1
Outdoor dining	100%	5	0%	0	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	60%	3	40%	2	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	20%	1	80%	4	0%	0
Affordable housing	60%	3	40%	2	0%	0
Early morning trading	40%	2	60%	3	0%	0
Small supermarkets	60%	3	20%	1	20%	1
Large supermarkets	0%	0	80%	4	20%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	80%	4	20%	1	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	40%	2	60%	3	0%	0
Total respondents	5					



## VALUES

**Table 51 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	20%	1	40%	2	40%	2
Good signage and wayfinding	20%	1	20%	1	60%	3
Responsive to history and heritage	20%	1	20%	1	60%	3
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	20%	1	80%	4
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	20%	1	80%	4
Wide footpaths	0%	0	0%	0	100%	5
Retaining current height limits	20%	1	0%	0	80%	4
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	100%	5
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	0%	0	100%	5
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	20%	1	80%	4
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	20%	1	80%	4
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	0%	0	100%	5
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	80%	4
Ease of parking	40%	2	60%	3	0%	0
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	20%	1	80%	4
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	20%	1	80%	4
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	40%	2	60%	3
Total respondents	5					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 50 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	20%	1	60%	3	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	100%	5	0%	0	0%	0
Public art	80%	4	20%	1	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	60%	3	40%	2	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	100%	5	0%	0	0%	0
Places for buskers	20%	1	40%	2	20%	1
Community and verge gardens	80%	4	20%	1	0%	0
Street furniture	100%	5	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	60%	3	40%	2	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	80%	4	20%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	5					


## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT: MURRIVERIE ROAD EAST

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



1

person completed the online survey for this village.



### VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Murriverie Road East village centre to be local, safe, beautiful and sustainable/green in the future.

Table 54 - Online survey - Which words would you use to describe your preferred future character/personality of this village centre?

Community-minded	100%
Beautiful	100%
Quiet	100%
Local	100%
Safe	100%

### ACCESSIBILITY

Table 52 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Private car parking	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Car share car spaces	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Public transport access	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Universal access	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

### USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 53 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for work	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Visitor related services	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Pop up and temporary uses	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Outdoor dining	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Affordable housing	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Early morning trading	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## VALUES

**Table 56 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Good signage and wayfinding	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Wide footpaths	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Retaining current height limits	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
High quality designed buildings	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Ease of parking	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 55 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Public art	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for buskers	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Community and verge gardens	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Street furniture	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# MURRIVERIE ROAD WEST

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



2

people completed the online survey for this village.



## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Murrivierie Road West village centre to be sustainable/green and quiet in the future.

Table 59 - Top future character words from the online survey

Sustainable/green	100%
Quiet	100%
Peaceful	50%
Relaxed	50%
Community-minded	50%
Beautiful	50%
Safe	50%
Natural	50%

## ACCESSIBILITY

Table 57 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	50%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Private car parking	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Car share car spaces	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Public transport access	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Universal access	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	2					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 58 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Places for work	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Visitor related services	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Pop up and temporary uses	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Outdoor dining	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Affordable housing	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Early morning trading	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	2					

## VALUES

**Table 61 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Good signage and wayfinding	50%	1	0%	0	50%	1
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Wide footpaths	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Retaining current height limits	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Ease of parking	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	50%	1	50%	1
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	0%	0	100%	2
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	2					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 60 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Public art	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	50%	1	50%	1	0%	0
Places for buskers	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Community and verge gardens	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Street furniture	100%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	0%	0	100%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	2					



## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# NORTH BONDI

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



4

people completed the online survey for this village.



## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the North Bondi village centre to be local, community-minded, diverse and sustainable/green in the future.

Table 64 - Top future character words from the online survey

Local	75%
Community-minded	50%
Diverse	50%
Sustainable/green	50%

## ACCESSIBILITY

Table 62 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	33%	1	0%	0	33%	1
Private car parking	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Car share car spaces	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Public transport access	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Universal access	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	3					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 63 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Places for work	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Visitor related services	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	0%	0	33%	1	33%	1
Pop up and temporary uses	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Outdoor dining	67%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Affordable housing	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Early morning trading	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	0%	0	33%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	3					

## VALUES

**Table 66 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	0%	0	67%	2	33%	1
Good signage and wayfinding	0%	0	67%	2	33%	1
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Wide footpaths	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Retaining current height limits	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Accessible for people of all abilities	33%	1	0%	0	33%	1
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	67%	2
Ease of parking	0%	0	0%	0	67%	2
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	0%	0	67%	2
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	33%	1	33%	1
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	67%		33%	1
Total respondents	3					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 65 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	67%	2	33%	1
Trees, planting and greenery	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Public art	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Places for buskers	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Community and verge gardens	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Street furniture	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	3					


## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# OSHR FLOOD STREET

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



**1** person completed the online survey for this village.



## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Local was the only word used to best describe the future character of the OSHR Flood Street village centre.

Table 69 - Top future character words from the online survey

Local	100%
-------	------

## ACCESSIBILITY

Table 67 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Private car parking	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Car share car spaces	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Public transport access	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Universal access	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 68 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for work	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Community facilities e.g library, community centre	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Visitor related services	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Pop up and temporary uses	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Outdoor dining	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Affordable housing	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Early morning trading	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Fine grain shop-fronts	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## VALUES

**Table 71 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Good signage and wayfinding	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Wide footpaths	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Retaining current height limits	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	100%	1
Ease of parking	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 70 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Public art	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	0%	0	0%	0	0%	0
Places for buskers	0%	0	100%	1	0%	0
Community and verge gardens	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Street furniture	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	100%	1	0%	0	0%	0
Total respondents	1					

## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT: CURLEWIS STREET

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



3

persons completed the online survey for this village.

### VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Curlewis Street village centre to be lively, community-minded, beachy and safe in the future.

Table 74 - Top future character words from the online survey

Community-minded	67%
Lively	67%
Beachy	67%
Safe	67%

### ACCESSIBILITY

Table 72 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Private car parking	67%	2	0%	0	33%	1
Car share car spaces	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Public transport access	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Universal access	0%	0	67%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	3					



### USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 73 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Places for retail and other services	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Places for work	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Places for the arts and creativity	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Community facilities e.g. library, community centre	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Visitor related services	0%	0	100%	3	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	33%	1	33%	1	33%	1
Pop up and temporary uses	67%	2	0%	0	33%	1
Outdoor dining	67%	2	0%	0	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	0%	0	100%	3	0%	0
Affordable housing	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Early morning trading	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Small supermarkets	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	100%	3	0%	0
Fine grain shop-fronts	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	3					



## VALUES

**Table 76 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	0%	0	100%	3	0%	0
Good signage and wayfinding	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Wide footpaths	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Retaining current height limits	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (e.g. small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	67%	2	33%	1
Character buildings are maintained	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
Ease of parking	67%	2	0%	0	33%	1
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	0%	0	100%	3
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	33%	1	67%	2
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	33%	1	33%	1
Total respondents	3					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 75 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	0%	0	100%	3	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Public art	33%	1	67%	2	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Places for buskers	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Community and verge gardens	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Street furniture	100%	3	0%	0	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	67%	2	33%	1	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	33%	1	33%	1	0%	0
Total respondents	3					

## WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

# GLENAYR AVE

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



9

persons completed the online survey for this village.

## VILLAGE CHARACTER

Survey respondents want the Glenayr Avenue village centre to be interesting/intriguing, beautiful, community-minded, local, sustainable/green and buzzing in the future.

Table 79 - Top future character words from the online survey

Interesting/intriguing	56%
Beautiful	44%
Community-minded	44%
Local	44%
Sustainable/green	44%
Buzzing	44%

## ACCESSIBILITY

Table 77 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following accessibility features in this village centre?

Accessibility features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Electric vehicle charging stations	44%	4	22%	2	11%	1
Private car parking	22%	2	44%	4	22%	2
Car share car spaces	33%	3	56%	5	0%	0
Cycle lanes and parking facilities	67%	6	22%	2	11%	1
Public transport access	67%	6	33%	3	0%	0
Safe movement for pedestrians e.g. more zebra crossings, pedestrianisation of some areas	89%	8	11%	1	0%	0
Universal access	67%	6	33%	3	0%	0
Total respondents	9					



## USES & ACTIVITIES

Table 78 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following uses in this village centre?

Uses and activities	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Places for people to live	22%	2	44%	4	11%	1
Places for retail and other services	44%	4	33%	3	0%	0
Places for work	33%	3	33%	3	11%	1
Places for the arts and creativity	89%	8	11%	1	0%	0
Community facilities e.g library, community centre	56%	5	22%	2	0%	0
Visitor related services	11%	1	56%	5	0%	0
Hotels/motels/serviced apartments	11%	1	33%	3	33%	3
Pop up and temporary uses	44%	4	44%	4	11%	1
Outdoor dining	56%	5	22%	2	0%	0
Night time entertainment and trading	89%	8	11%	1	0%	0
Places for health and fitness	22%	2	56%	5	0%	0
Affordable housing	56%	5	33%	3	0%	0
Early morning trading	67%	6	22%	2	0%	0
Small supermarkets	22%	2	56%	5	0%	0
Large supermarkets	0%	0	22%	2	56%	5
Fine grain shop-fronts	67%	6	22%	2	0%	0
Pedestrian through-site links	78%	7	22%	2	0%	0
Total respondents	9					

## VALUES

**Table 81 - How important are the following values to you about this village centre?**

Values	Not at all important		Somewhat important		Very important	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
It has a consistent look and feel	33%	3	33%	3	22%	2
Good signage and wayfinding	11%	1	33%	3	44%	4
Responsive to history and heritage	0%	0	67%	6	22%	2
Functional and well-designed street furniture	0%	0	33%	3	67%	6
More amenities, such as drinking fountains and bins	0%	0	22%	2	67%	6
Wide footpaths	0%	0	11%	1	78%	7
Retaining current height limits	11%	1	11%	1	56%	5
High quality designed buildings	0%	0	0%	0	89%	8
Retaining the smaller, active local feel of the centre (eg small and activated shopfronts)	0%	0	11%	1	78%	7
Environmental sustainability	0%	0	22%	2	67%	6
Accessible for people of all abilities	0%	0	11%	1	78%	7
Character buildings are maintained	22%	2	11%	1	56%	5
Planting and greenery	0%	0	0%	0	89%	8
Ease of parking	33%	3	22%	2	33%	3
Ease of access by public transport	0%	0	22%	2	67%	6
The materials and quality of the footpath	0%	0	22%	2	67%	6
Fine grain shop-fronts	0%	0	22%	2	44%	4
Total respondents	9					

## PUBLIC DOMAIN

**Table 80 - Would you like to see more, less, or the same of the following public domain features in this village centre?**

Public domain features	More		Same		Less	
	%	#	%	#	%	#
Public spaces for events and gatherings	67%	6	33%	3	0%	0
Trees, planting and greenery	100%	9	0%	0	0%	0
Public art	67%	6	22%	2	0%	0
Public recycling facilities	78%	7	11%	1	0%	0
Visibility of local Indigenous culture and heritage	56%	5	33%	3	0%	0
Places for buskers	44%	4	22%	2	22%	2
Community and verge gardens	100%	9	0%	0	0%	0
Street furniture	67%	6	33%	3	0%	0
Public spaces to linger	67%	6	33%	3	0%	0
Consistency in aesthetic of signage	44%	4	44%	4	0%	0
Total respondents	9					

WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

## ROSE BAY SOUTH (LIVERPOOL STREET)

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



0

persons completed the online survey for this village.



WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

## VAUCLUSE

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



0

persons completed the online survey for this village.



WHAT WE HEARD - CENTRE SNAPSHOT:

## WAIROA AVENUE, NORTH BONDI

Number of people who commented on this neighbourhood:



0

persons completed the online survey for this village.

